

Academy of Model Aeronautics

5161 East Memorial Drive, Muncie, Indiana 47302 (765) 287-1256 – Voice • Competitions Fax (765) 286-3303 www.modelaircraft.org

RULES CHANGE PROPOSAL FORM

Click SUBMIT button to send to AMA Headquarters

A copy will be forwarded to the appropriate Contest Board Chairman. The current issue of Competition Regulations must be referenced.

PROPOSAL NO. <u>CLSC15-4CP2</u> (To be inserted by Headquarters)	RECEIVED DATE 6/30/2014 (To be inserted by Headquarters)
REVISE DATE	VERSION NUMBER
PROPOSAL TYPE (Check One): Basic Urgent Saf X Cross (Indicate Original Pr	ety/Emergency Interpretation roposal Number)CLSC15-4
□ General Section □ Executive Council □ Outdoor I	Free Flight 🗌 Indoor Free Flight 🗌 CL Speed
□ CL Racing □ CL Navy Carrier □ CL Aerobatics	□ CL Combat □ CL Special Events □ RC Aerobatics
\Box RC Scale Aerobatics \Box RC Pylon Racing \Box RC He	licopter 🗌 RC Soaring X CL Scale 🗌 FF Scale
RC Scale Electric Special Events RC Complexity	bat
Brief summary of the proposed change.	

Cross Proposal to CLSC 15-4 to Correct Line-Pull Test Chart

Exact wording proposed for the rule book. (List paragraph numbers where applicable. Example: Change "quote present rule book wording" to "exact wording required".

NOTE: This cross proposal will not be brief. The following 8 page Technical Report has the series of assumptions, tradeoffs and calculations that Kent Walters has requested.

Technical Report: Determining CL Scale Line Sizes and Pull Tests Required Based on Line Load Safety Calculations

Thanks for all your inputs. As a result I have redone all the calculations to address you inputs and issues raised by Kent Walters of the AMA Scale Contest Board.

Assumption: Dacron is an approximately 0.014 inch diameter string with a breaking strength of approximately the same as 0.008 inch wire rope.

Primary issues:

- A. Pull Test with safety factor that more than the maximum amount of pull on lines in the Worst Case Flight for each model weight category.
- B. Line Strength using industry data and safety factors from the AMA rule set that specifies the diameter that can withstand the expected maximum amount of pull on lines in the Worst Case Flight for each model weight category.

Worst Case Flight:

The determination of the worst case flight is dependent on the physical aspects of the models and its control system, and the maximum speed the model is flown.

Physical Aspects: The contestant builds a model that has a specific dry mass weight. The model mass weight puts the model into one of the seven (7) weight bracket categories. The model mass weight bracket category is used to specify the range of line lengths (max-min --- model centerline to handle grip) and the minimum line diameter for the line type (wire rope or music wire) and their number of load bearing lines. All of these data are acquired during the model processing and in the pull test area during the flying portion of the contest.

Maximum Velocity flown: This challenge had to be addressed when the issue of the 60 mph speed limit was challenged as being impractical to manage at a contest and to limiting to requirements of the scale builder-flyer. This means an assumption must be made for the maximum expected speed in each of the seven (7) line categories determined by maximum model weight.

The following chart displays maximum speed assumptions that are expected for the different maximum weight models in each class:

1st Pass Estimate								
Max Model	Max							
Weight	Speed							
Pounds	MPH							
0.75	60							
1.5	70							
2.5	80							
4	85							
8	70							
12	65							
20	60							

These assumed maximum speeds fall below other CL events of speed, racing, combat and navy carrier. But they are equal to or higher than

the prior sixty (60) mph limit. The logic behind the assumption process included the ability of the pilot to control the heavier models at higher speed and flight experience of expert control line scale modelers.

Calculation of Line Loads During Flight:

Using the standard AMA line load equation for the Worst Case Flight: $L = N^*M^*V^*V/R$

N = .0673 a constant

M = mass weight of model in pounds

V = velocity in miles per hour

R = radius from handle to centerline of model.

The required AMA safety factors are:

1.2 for wind times 1.1 for safety

The results for our wire line size categories are:

Centrifu	Centrifugal Force*1.2 Factor for Wind * 1.1 Safety Factor									
Max Model	Minimum	Max	Max	Max	Max					
Weight	Lines-Ft	Velocity	G	Line (s)	Pilot					
lb-mass	Length	mph	Load	Load	Sec/Rev					
0.75	25	60	12.79	9.59	1.78					
1.5	35	70	12.44	18.66	2.14					
2.5	52.5	80	10.83	27.07	2.81					
4	52.5	85	12.27	49.07	2.65					
8	52.5	75	9.56	76.48	3.00					
12	65	65	5.81	69.70	4.28					
20	65	60	4.92	98.40	4.64					

Max Load wit			
Max Model	Max	Max	Current
Weight	G	Line (s)	Pull Test
Pounds	Load	Load	G's
0.75	12.79	9.59	10
1.5	12.44	18.66	10
2.5	10.83	27.07	5
4	12.27	49.07	5
8	9.56	76.48	5
12	5.81	69.70	5
20	4.92	98.40	5

We can observe the Worst Case Flight line load in each model weight category. The Maximum G loads are mostly higher than the pull test G load. Some have more than twice the Max G Load then the Current Pull Test. CL Scale uses two types of steel lines: Wire Rope and Music Wire.

Line Strength – Wire Rope

Wire Ro	Single	Lines			Strength	
Swaged Eye Facto	r	90%				Used In
AS	TMA Data		Ma	nf Te	st Data	
305 and	d 316 Stainl	ess				Analysis
	Wire	Swaged	Manf T	est	Swaged	Lowest
Line Size	Max Load	Max Load	Max Loa	d	Max Load	of 2 Tables
Inches	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds		Pounds	Pounds
0.008				10	9.00	9.00
0.010	Ex	trapolated by	cross-sect	ion ar	rea.	13.63
0.012	21.11	19.00	20		18.00	19.00
0.014	28.74	25.87				25.87
0.015	32.99	29.69	30		27.00	29.69
0.018	47.50	42.75	45		40.50	40.50
0.021	64.65	58.19	60		54.00	54.00
0.024			90	81.00		81.00
0.027			135		121.50	121.50

Required Single Line Strength Wire Rope (Stranded)									
Wire	Single Line	Safety	Safety Factors For # of Lines						
Size	Breaking	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines					
Inches	Strength	56%	86%	116%					
0.008	9.00	5.04	7.74	10.44					
0.010	13.63	7.63	11.72	15.81					
0.012	19.00	10.64	16.34	22.04					
0.014	25.87	14.48	22.24	30.00					
0.015	29.69	16.63	25.53	34.44					
0.018	40.50	22.68	34.83	46.98					
0.021	54.00	30.24	46.44	62.64					
0.024	81.00	45.36	69.66	93.96					
0.027	121.50	68.04	104.49	140.94					

The factors of **56**% for one (1) and **86%** two (2) lines are AMA factors and **116%** is an extrapolation to three (3) lines.

	Max Loads Applied to Line Sizes for 2015-2016 AMA Rule Set											
Model		Line Size		Maximum Carry Load			Max	Wire Rope (Stranded)				
Weight				Based on Line Size			Load		Percent of Safe Load			
Pounds		2 Lines	3 Lines		2 Lines	3 Lines	Expected		2 Lines	3 Lines		
.075		0.01	0.008		11.72	10.44	9.59		122.2%	108.9%		
.75 – 1.50		0.012	0.01		16.34	15.81	18.66		87.6%	84.7%		
<u> 1.51 – 2.50</u>		0.015	0.014		22.24	30.00	27.07		82.2%	110.8%		
2.51 – 4		0.021	0.018		46.44	46.98	49.07		94.6%	95.7%		
4.01 – 8		0.024	0.021		69.66	62.64	76.48		91.1%	81.9%		
8.01 – 12		0.024	0.021		69.66	62.64	69.70		99.9%	89.9%		
12.01 — 20		0.027	0.024		104.49	93.96	98.40		106.2%	95.5%		

Reviewing the last two columns we see that the Wire Rope lines load carrying capacity is in most cases within about 90% of the Worse Case flight line load. Based

on the limitations of our assumptions this is within a safe range.

Line Strength – Music Wire

AMA Competition Regulations 2013-2014 Control Line General page CLG-2

Single Wire ASME Steel

Line	Size	Inches	0.008	0.01	0.012	0.014	0.016	0.018	0.022	0.026
Minimum	Breaking	Pounds	17.6	22.3	27.4	52.8	68.3	85.6	125.3	172.1

Music Wire			Single Lines	Strength
			Used In	
A	SME			Analyis
			0.9	
	Wire		Swaged	
Line Size	Max Load		Max Load	Max Load
Inches	Pounds		Pounds	Pounds
0.008	17.60		15.84	15.84
0.010	22.30		20.07	20.07
0.012	27.40		24.66	24.66
0.014	52.80		47.52	47.52
0.160	68.30		61.47	61.47
0.018	85.60		77.04	77.04
0.020			94.91	94.91
0.022	125.30		112.77	112.77
0.024			133.83	133.83
0.026	172.10		154.89	154.89

Requi	Required Single Line Strength Music Wire (Solids)									
Wire	Single Line	Factors For # of Lines								
Size	Breaking	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines						
Inches	Strength	56%	86%	116%						
0.008	15.84	8.87	13.62	18.37						
0.010	20.07	11.24	17.26	23.28						
0.012	24.66	13.81	21.21	28.61						
0.014	47.52	26.61	40.87	55.12						
0.016	61.47	34.42	52.86	71.31						
0.018	77.04	43.14	66.25	89.37						
0.020	94.91	53.15	81.62	110.09						
0.022	112.77	63.15	96.98	130.81						
0.024	133.83	74.94	115.09	155.24						
0.026	154.89	86.74	133.21	179.67						

		Max Loads Applied to Line Sizes for 2015-2016 AMA Rule Set											
	Line	e Size		Maximum Carry Load			Max	Music Wire (Solids)		olids)			
Weight				Based on Line Size			Load	Percent of Safe Load		oad			
Pounds	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines	Expected	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines			
.075	0.01	0.008	0.008	11.24	13.62	18.37	9.59	117.2%	142.0%	191.6%			
.75 – 1.50	0.014	0.01	0.008	26.61	17.26	18.37	18.66	142.6%	92.5%	98.5%			
1.51 – 2.50	0.014	0.012	0.01	26.61	21.21	23.28	27.07	98.3%	78.3%	86.0%			
2.51 – 4	0.018	0.014	0.014	43.14	40.87	55.12	49.07	87.9%	83.3%	112.3%			
4.01 – 8	0.024	0.018	0.016	74.94	66.25	71.31	76.48	98.0%	86.6%	93.2%			
8.01 – 12	0.022	0.018	0.016	63.15	66.25	71.31	69.70	90.6%	95.1%	102.3%			
12.01 – 20	0.026	0.022	0.018	86.74	96.98	89.37	98.40	88.1%	98.6%	90.8%			

The Wire Cable and Music Wire sizes selected seem to have adequate load carrying capability and are mostly at 90% or above of the needed safety line.

Remaining issue to be worked is:

A. Assuring Max Expected Flight Loads are less than Pull Test

The following are proposed model weight/line data charts

Model	Required	CL Flying Scale							
Weight	Model Centerline to	S	ingle Stran	d	Multi-Strand		Pull		
Pounds	Handle Grip Length	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines	2 Lines	3 Lines	Test		
.0 – .5	25' – 45'				.014″	.014″	10G		

ASTM A228M music wire and ASTM A 492-95 Wire Rope 305, 316 Stainless

Model	Required	CL Flying Scale						
Weight	Model Centerline to	Single Strand			Multi-St	Pull		
Pounds	Handle Grip Length	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines	2 Lines	3 Lines	Test	
.0 –.75	35' – 52.5'	.010″	.008″	.008″	.010"	.008″	10G	
.75 – 1.50	35' - 70'	.014″	.010″	.008″	.012″	.010"	10G	
1.51 – 2.50	52.5' –70'	.014″	.012″	.010"	.015″	.015″	10G	
2.51 – 4	52.5' – 70'	.018"	.014"	.014″	.021"	.018″	10G	
4.01 - 8	52.5′ – 70'	.024″	.018″	.016"	.024″	.021″	5G	
8.01 – 12	65' – 70'	.022″	.018"	.016"	.024"	.021"	5G	
12.01 – 20	65' – 70'	.026"	.022″	.018″	.027″	.024″	5G	

Brief summary of the cross proposal.

The following Kent Walters request resulted in a complete review of the calculations and resulted in making corrections to table , "Also in what you identify as 4.7.2, how is the "expected maximum flight velocity" now determined for wire gauge size and centrifugal force calculations? There is no maximum speed limit of 60 mph now included in these new proposed rules as otherwise published earlier. I thought there was going to be a further analysis a few years ago on what the actual speeds were in CL Scale completion when there was earlier question on the 60 mph along with discussions on control line wire gauge sizes. That study notwithstanding, I notice the wire gauge sizes were generally increased in size in this latest new proposal. As a result, it would appear something was determined to now increase those CL wire sizes. If so, what is the new higher velocity those wire gauge sizes have been selected for? I think that is still important to list as a reference for any models flying faster such as any of the new jets."

Thank you Kent for addressing this issue. The Technical Report above and following cross proposal makes the corrections.

As reference I have attached a seventeen (17) page technical document that has the detailed rational for maximum model speed at each weight category and technical references, assumptions, and calculations that were used to arrive at the proposed line diameters. These calculations were made for each of the maximum model weight-minimum line length categories for the number of load bearing lines for the two types of wire: single strand and multi-strand.

Exact wording for cross proposed.

4.7.2. The line sizes, line lengths, and pull test are designed based on engineering analysis using ASME and other single and multi-strand wire line strength standards, of effects of maximum model weight, expected maximum flight velocity, limitations of pilot capability to withstand line pull, wind on downwind side of the circle, a safety factor of 1.1, line distance from handle to model centerline, limitations of pilot capability to withstand line pull and rotational speed. , and a safety factor of 1.1, . The following table includes maximum flight speed assumed for each model weight category.

Centrifu	Centrifugal Force 1.2 Factor for Wind + 1.1 Safety Factor										
Max A/C	Minimum	Max	Max	Max	Max						
Weight	Lines-Ft	Velocity	Pull	Line (s)	Pilot						
Lbmass	Length	MPH	Pounds	Load	Sec/Rev						
1.5	35	80	2.9	24.38	1.9						
2.5	52.5	90	2.7	34.28	<u>2.5</u>						
4	52.5	95	6.2	4 9.50	<u>2.4</u>						
8	52.5	85		73.64	2.6						
12	65	75	32.16	86.09	3.7						
20	65	65	67.00	107.93	4 .3						

Table: Model Weight Category Maximum Flight Speed Expected

Centrifugal Force*1.2 Factor for Wind * 1.1 Safety Factor								
Max Model	Minimum	Max	Max	Max	Мах			
Weight	Lines-Ft	Velocity	G	Line (s)	Pilot			
lb-mass	Length	mph	Load	Load	Sec/Rev			
0.75	25	60	12.79	9.59	1.78			
1.5	35	70	12.44	18.66	2.14			
2.5	52.5	80	10.83	27.07	2.81			
4	52.5	85	12.27	49.07	2.65			
8	52.5	75	9.56	76.48	3.00			
12	65	65	5.81	69.70	4.28			
20	65	60	4.92	98.40	4.64			

4.7.3. Control Line(s). The length of the control line(s) measured from the center point of the grip part of the control handle (device) to the fore and aft center line of the model shall be as specified in the CL Scale line size **and** for the following pull test table.

CL Scale Flying Table:

Table: Model Weight Category Line Length and Size, and Pull Test Requirements

Model	Required	CL Flying Scale							
Weight	Model	Single Strand			Multi-Strand		Pull		
	Centerline to								
Pounds	Handle Grip	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines	2 Lines	3 Lines	Test		
	Length								
0 – 1.50	35'/52.5'- 70'*	.014"	.014"	.010″	.015″	.012″	10G		
1.51 – 2.50	52.5' - 70'	.016"	.014″	.014"	.018″	.015″	5G		
2.51 – 4	52.5' 70'	.020″	.016″	.014"	.021″	.018″	5G		
4 .01 – 8	52.5′ 70'	.024″	.020″	.016"	.024″	.021″	5G		
8.01 – 12	65' - 70'	.026"	.022″	.016"	.027″	.024″	5G		
12.01 – 20	65' - 70'	.026"	.02 4″	.020″	.027″	.024″	5G		

Dacron Lines

Model	Required	CL Flying Scale							
Weight	Model Centerline to	S	ingle Stran	d	Multi-St	Pull			
Pounds	Handle Grip Length	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines	2 Lines	3 Lines	Test		
.0 – .5	25' – 45'				.014″	.014″	10G		

ASTM A228M music wire and ASTM A 492-95 Wire Rope 305, 316 Stainless

Model	Required		CL Flying Scale						
Weight	Model Centerline to	Single Strand			Multi-Strand		Pull		
Pounds	Handle Grip Length	1 Line	2 Lines	3 Lines	2 Lines	3 Lines	Test		
.0 –.75	35' – 52.5'	.010"	.008″	.008″	.010"	.008″	10G		
.75 – 1.50	35' - 70'	.014″	.010″	.008″	.012″	.010"	10G		
1.51 – 2.50	52.5' –70'	.014″	.012″	.010"	.015″	.015″	10G		
2.51 – 4	52.5' – 70'	.018″	.014"	.014″	.021″	.018″	10G		
4.01 - 8	52.5′ – 70'	.024″	.018″	.016"	.024″	.021″	5G		
8.01 – 12	65' – 70'	.022″	.018″	.016″	.024"	.021″	5G		
12.01 – 20	65' – 70'	.026″	.022″	.018″	.027"	.024"	5G		

Logic behind proposed change, including alleged shortcomings of the present rules. State intent for future reference.

New event test data/information (new events only), please provide what testing of this new event has taken place to include number of participants and number of contests.

Effect, if any, on current AMA records.

There is no effect. AMA does not have a system for CL Scale scoring records.

Note: The Contest Board Chairman may, in coordination with the submitter of the proposal, at any time prior to submitting a proposal to the Contest Board for Final Vote, edit proposal wording to increase clarity and to avoid ambiguity provided the proposal intent is not changed.

1. Change Proposer: Theodore C Kraver AMA # 542

Street Address: 225 West Orchid Lane

City: Phoenix State: AZ Zip: 85021

Day Telephone: 602-944-8557 Evening Telephone: 602-944-8557

E-mail Address: Ted@Kraver.cc

Headne C. Grave Signature:

Date of Signature: June 30, 2014